Rec'd PCT/PTO 06 JUA COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P02008 International application No. PCT/NO 03/00029		gent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)		
		00029	International filing date (day/monthly 31.01.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 08.02.2002	
Internation B01D4	onal Pa 5/12	tent Classification (IPC) o	r both national classification and IPC		
Applican NORSI		DRO ASA et al.	·		
1. Th	is inte	rnational preliminary ex and is transmitted to th	amination report has been prepared ne applicant according to Article 36.	by this International Preliminary Examining	
2. Th	is REF	PORT consists of a tota	of 4 sheets, including this cover she	eet.	
	This bee (see	s report is also accomp in amended and are the PRule 70.16 and Section	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the basis for this report and/or sheets con 607 of the Administrative Instruction	e description, claims and/or drawings which have ontaining rectifications made before this Authority	
The		nexes consist of a total		ons under the PC1).	
3. Thi	s repo	rt contains indications r	elating to the following items:		
i. Thi		_	elating to the following items:		
3. Thi	s repo ⊠	Basis of the opinion	elating to the following items:		
1		Basis of the opinion Priority		Ai.	
1 11		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability	
 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement	opinion with regard to novelty, invention under Rule 66 2(a)(ii) with regard to	tive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
 V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement	opinion with regard to novelty, invention under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to dispense supporting such statement		
 V 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit	opinion with regard to novelty, invention under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to dispense supporting such statement		
 V 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inveni Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the	opinion with regard to novelty, invention under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to dions supporting such statement		
 V 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inveni Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the	opinion with regard to novelty, invention under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to rions supporting such statement ed international application on the international application		
I III IV V VI VIII	Marine in the company of the company	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invening Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations of	opinion with regard to novelty, invention under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to rions supporting such statement ed international application on the international application	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
IIIIVVVIIIVIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Domission	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations of	opinion with regard to novelty, invention under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to rions supporting such statement ed international application on the international application Date of comp	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pletion of this report	
IIIIIV V VI VIII VIIII	omission mailing examin Euro	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations of n of the demand address of the internation ling authority: opean Patent Office	opinion with regard to novelty, invention under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to rions supporting such statement ed international application on the international application Date of comp	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pletion of this report	
IIIIVVVIIIVIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	omission mailing examin Euro	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations of n of the demand address of the internation ling authority:	opinion with regard to novelty, invention under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to rions supporting such statement ed international application on the international application Date of comp 04.03.2004 Authorized O	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pletion of this report	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NO 03/00029

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	D	Description, Pages					
	1-	3	as published				
	C	laims, Numbers					
	1-	5	as published				
	Dı	awings, Sheets					
	1/1	Ī	as published				
2	. Wi lar	th regard to the lang nguage in which the i	juage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
			evailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a t	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of pu	blication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a t Rule 55.2 and/or 55	ranslation furnished for the purposes of internal to the purpose of internal to t				
3.	Wit	th regard to any nuc ernational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
			ernational application in written form.				
		filed together with t	ne international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence iished.				
1.	The	amendments have i	resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NO 03/00029

5. 📙	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to thi report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)		Claims Claims	2-5 1
Inventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1-5
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-5

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



Ad V:

Reference is made to the following documents: 1.

D1: EP-A-0210910 D2: US-A-2506298 D3: US-A-2806551

From D1, in particular figure 1 and corresponding description, a device according 2. to claim 1 is known, comprising a first pipe (10a), a first set of stationary blades (12) designed to rotate the feed and a second pipe (10c) comprising a second set of blades (16) designed to stop the rotation (see column 2, lines 39-44). As the reference to a process cannot characterize a device, D1 describes all the features relating to an apparatus of claim 1.

The same objection is valid considering D2, see figures 1-2 and corresponding description, disclosing first (3) and second (12) sets of blades in pipes (2) and (5) or D3, see figure 2, describing a pipe assembly having blades (33) and (35).

For lack of novelty claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

- The characterizing features of the dependant claims are either known from the 3. cited documents or represent obvious alternatives (Article 33(3) PCT).
- The industrial applicability is evident (Article 33(4) PCT). 4.